What does the term vendor limitation criteria mean?
This term refers to criteria established by the State agency to determine the maximum number and distribution of vendors it authorizes.

How does the State agency limit the number of vendors it authorizes?
The State agency uses a participant-to-vendor ratio (250:1) to determine the number of vendors authorized in each geographic area.

How is the ratio applied?
This ratio is applied to geographic areas within the State to distribute the authorized vendors in accordance with the participant population densities. The State agency will round up for .5 or greater and round down for .49 or less.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If geographic area has...</th>
<th>...then...</th>
<th>...means the number of vendors authorized is...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>750 participants</td>
<td>750 divided by 250 = 3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>850 participants</td>
<td>850 divided by 250 = 3.4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>950 participants</td>
<td>950 divided by 250 = 3.8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What does the term geographic area mean?
For purposes of authorization, geographic area is defined as the area in which participants reside. Geographic area boundaries are determined through Zip Code analysis.

Are there any exceptions to the limitation of vendors?
Yes, the State agency may authorize extra vendors in an area if one of the following exceptions exists:
• geographic area has less than 500 participants; or
• participant access.
How does the State agency review participant access?

Participant access is not defined as participant convenience or preference. Inadequate participant access would cause a hardship, not just an inconvenience, to participants.

Hardship will be determined if one of the following conditions exists:
- Fifty (50) or more participants would be required to travel in excess of five miles to reach an authorized vendor.
- Constant or permanent physical barriers or conditions that would make normal travel to another authorized vendor impossible (e.g., frequent road closings due to bad weather).
- Fifty (50) or more participants whose specific nationality can only be properly served by a specific vendor due to a language barrier.
- Fifty (50) or more participants whose specific dietary needs can only be properly served by a specific vendor due to religious mandates.

The State agency reviews participant access on a statewide basis during the authorization process and on a case-by-case basis during an agreement period.

NOTES:

Federal Reference
7 CFR 246.12(b) and 246.12(1)(B)

Last revised: January 1, 2022
Who is on the secondary waiting list?

This is a list of vendors who did not participate in or were denied during the competitive bid process.

Grocery vendors requesting authorization after the bids have been awarded or during an agreement period are sent a letter explaining how the Delaware WIC Program selects their vendors and a Waiting List Information Sheet. This information sheet is not an application for authorization. It is merely a request to be added to the secondary waiting list.

How does the State agency authorize vendors during an agreement period?

If an authorized vendor is terminated/disqualified or any additional vendors are required to maintain adequate participant access, the State agency will:

1. Review the waiting lists for the geographic area in conjunction with the participant access determination.
2. Select vendors that will achieve the greatest participant access and utilize the same competitive vendor bid process used for mass authorization.

NOTES:

• What eligibility criteria must all applicant and authorized vendors meet?
• How does this apply to applicant vendors?
• How does this apply to authorized vendors?
• What does the term “serving a disqualification period” mean?

Federal Reference
7 CFR 246.12(g)(9)

Last revised: January 1, 2022
• Bid prices cannot exceed shelf prices.
• Submit and abide by competitive shelf prices on the vendor bid application.
• Not derive more than 50% of their annual revenue from the sale of WIC food items.
• Carry authorized WIC food items, dairy, meat, fresh fruit and vegetable produce, and household items.
• WIC only stores and mobile stores are specifically ineligible.
• Must obtain infant formula only from sources included on the Delaware WIC authorized formula manufacturers, wholesalers, distributors, and retailers list.

How does this apply to applicant vendors?
APPLICANT VENDORS must meet these criteria to be eligible to be an authorized WIC vendor. Meeting the eligibility criteria does not guarantee authorization. The State agency will reject bid applications from any applicant vendor that does not meet the eligibility criteria.

How does this apply to authorized vendors?
AUTHORIZED VENDORS must maintain compliance with these criteria, at all times. The State agency will give an authorized vendor, who is out of compliance, an opportunity to take corrective action. If corrective action does not occur within the time period specified by the State agency, the Vendor Participation Agreement may be terminated for cause of ineligibility.

What does the term “serving a disqualification period” mean?
This term refers to either:
• The period of time a vendor has been disqualified; or
• The period of time a vendor would have been disqualified but has remained active by paying a civil money penalty in lieu of the disqualification.

NOTES:

Federal Reference
7 CFR 246.12(g)(3)(i)(ii)(iii)
Last revised: January 1, 2022
Does the State agency have to visit a store before authorization?
The State agency will perform an onsite monitoring visit at initial authorization before an applicant vendor’s authorization. During this visit, the State agency will verify information submitted on application, review for compliance with eligibility criteria, and collect shelf prices.

What happens if the applicant vendor bought the store from a vendor who is trying to avoid having a WIC sanction levied against him/her?
The State agency may not authorize an applicant vendor if the State agency determines its previous owner has sold the store in an attempt to circumvent a WIC sanction. The State agency may consider such factors as whether the store was sold to a relative by blood or marriage of the previous owner(s) or sold to any individual or organization for less than its fair market value.

NOTES:

VENDOR TRAINING

POLICY AND PROCEDURE CONTENTS

• What is the purpose of vendor training?
• How often do vendors have to attend training?
• What are the State agency’s responsibilities?
• What are the vendors’ responsibilities?
• How does the State agency document the content of and vendor participation in vendor training?
• What are acceptable methods of training?
• What does mandatory prior authorization and annual interactive training include?
• What happens if a vendor fails to attend a training session?
• Federal Reference

What is the purpose of vendor training?
All vendor training is designed to prevent program errors and non-compliance and improve program service.

How often do vendors have to attend training?
All vendors must attend mandatory training prior to initial authorization and mandatory annual interactive training sessions during their authorization. (Annual refers to a Federal fiscal year, not on a calendar year. FFY = Oct. 1st – Sept. 30th)

The State agency will also schedule corrective action training for non-compliant or problematic authorized vendors on an as needed basis.

What are the State agency’s responsibilities?
• Provide training annually to at least one representative of each vendor.
• Determine the method of vendor training used, regardless of reason for training.
• Provide interactive training prior to a vendor’s authorization.
• Designate the date, time, and location of the interactive training and the audience (e.g. managers, cashiers, etc.) to which the training is directed.
• Document the content of and vendor participation in all forms of vendor training.
• Provide vendors with at least one alternative date on which to attend interactive training.
• Terminate any vendor that does not attend training, as scheduled.

What are the vendors’ responsibilities?
The vendors must:
• Attend vendor training, as scheduled by the State agency.
• Train cashiers and other staff on program requirements.

How does the State agency document the content of and vendor participation in vendor training?
All vendors are required to sign a Verification of Training form.
What are acceptable methods of training?
Examples of acceptable methods of vendor training include:
• Off-site classroom-style train-the-trainer or manager training;
• On-site cashier training;
• Training videos, and
• Training newsletters.

What does mandatory prior authorization and annual interactive training include?
Prior authorization and annual vendor training will include:
• Instruction on the purpose of the WIC Program;
• The supplemental foods authorized by the State agency;
• The minimum varieties and quantities of authorized supplemental foods that must be stocked by vendors;
• The vendor sanction system;
• The vendor complaint process;
• How to complete an eWIC transaction;
• The claims procedure;
• Any changes to program requirements that have occurred since the last annual training session;
• The authorized list of infant formula distributors, retailers, and wholesalers for WIC formula; and
• The policy regarding the use of incentive items.

What happens if a vendor fails to attend a training session?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If it is...</th>
<th>...then the State agency will...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>prior authorization training or mandatory annual training or corrective action training</td>
<td>allow the vendor to attend training on an alternative date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>an alternative date for prior authorization training</td>
<td>deny the vendor WIC authorization for failure to meet vendor eligibility criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>an alternative date for mandatory annual training</td>
<td>terminate the vendor for failure to remain in compliance with vendor eligibility criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>an alternative date for corrective action training</td>
<td>terminate the vendor for failure to remain in compliance with vendor eligibility criteria</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTES:

Who is responsible for monitoring vendors?
The State agency is responsible for monitoring vendors to determine their compliance with Program regulations and vendor participation agreements. Investigations may remain open from a period of thirty days to one year. State agency investigations include, but are not limited to:
• compliance buys;
• inventory audits;
• eligibility criteria reviews;
• eWIC transaction reviews; and
• educational visits.

What is a routine monitoring review?
A routine monitoring review is an overt, on-site monitoring visit during which State agency staff identifies themselves to vendor personnel.

What does the State agency do during a routine monitoring review?
Routine monitoring activities include, but are not limited to:
• verifying vendor meets the minimum stock requirement;
• may collect/verify shelf prices of authorized foods;
• observing eWIC transactions;
• interviewing vendor staff;
• validating authorized foods have “WIC Approved” shelf labels; and
• compliance with various eligibility criteria.

How does the State agency determine who gets a routine monitoring review?
All retail grocery vendors will receive, at least, one routine monitoring review in a Federal fiscal year. Some vendors may receive more than one visit. The State agency selects vendors by means of random sampling, periodic/scheduled reviews, or due to complaints filed against the vendor.
What are compliance investigations?
Compliance investigations consist of:
• Compliance buys – covert, on-site investigations in which a representative of the Program poses as a participant, parent or caretaker of an infant or child participant, or proxy, transacts one or more eWIC purchases, and does not reveal during the visit that he or she is a program representative.
• Inventory audits – examination of food invoices or other proofs of purchase to determine whether a vendor has purchased sufficient quantities of supplemental foods to provide participants the quantities loaded to the eWIC card and purchased by participants during a given period of time.

How does the State agency select vendors for compliance investigations?
The State agency is required to perform compliance investigations on a minimum of 5% of its vendors. This requirement is a minimum and does not preclude the State agency from performing compliance investigations on more than 5% of its vendors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If...</th>
<th>...then the State agency will...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>greater than 5% of the vendors have a high-risk status</td>
<td>review the high-risk vendors who have the greatest potential for non-compliance and/or loss of funds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>less than 5% of the vendors have a high-risk status</td>
<td>review all high-risk vendors and randomly select non-risk vendors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exactly 5% of the vendors have a high-risk status</td>
<td>review all high-risk vendors.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What documentation is required?
The State agency must document the following information for all monitoring visits, including routine monitoring visits and inventory audits:
• the date of the monitoring visit or inventory audit;
• the name(s) and signature(s) of the reviewer(s); and
• the nature of any problem(s) detected.

For compliance buys, the State agency must document:
• the date of the compliance buy;
• the name(s) and signature(s) of the reviewer(s);
• the nature of any problem(s) detected;
• a description of the cashier involved in each transaction;
• the types and quantities of items purchased, current shelf prices or prices charged other customers, and price charged for each item purchased, if available. Price information may be obtained prior to, during, or subsequent to the compliance buy; and
• the final disposition of all items as destroyed, donated, provided to other authorities, or kept as evidence.

Federal Reference
7CFR 246.12(j)

Last revised: January 1, 2022

VIOLATIONS AND SANCTIONS

POLICY AND PROCEDURE CONTENTS
• Does the State agency have to warn a vendor before giving him/her a sanction?
• Class A Violations
• Class B Violations
• Class D Violations
• Class E Violations
• Class M Violations
• What happens when there are multiple violations during a single investigation?
• Are there any actions in addition to sanctions?
• Disqualified Vendors
• Terminated Vendors
• What are the notification procedures for disqualifying or terminating a vendor?
• When is a vendor not permitted to voluntarily withdraw from the WIC Program?
• WIC Disqualification Based on a SNAP Program Disqualification
• SNAP Disqualification Based on a WIC Program Disqualification
• FNS Notification of Disqualifications
• Civil Money Penalty

Does the State agency have to warn a vendor before giving him/her a sanction?
Not always. If the State agency finds that a vendor has committed a violation that requires a pattern (2 or more) of occurrences in order to impose a penalty, the State agency shall send a written warning to the vendor, unless the State agency determines, on a case-by-case basis, that notifying the vendor would compromise the compliance investigation. (7 CFR 246.12(h)(3)(xviii) and Reauthorization Act)

Class A Violations
• 1st documented incident – Warning letter, training materials sent to store
• 2nd documented incident – Warning letter, mandatory training scheduled by WIC State agency office
• >3rd documented incident – Becomes Class E Violation

These are determined to be minimal violations. Sanctions for class A violations include warning letters, technical assistance, and mandatory training. These sanctions are based on an incident. It should be noted that repeated warnings for class A violations may trigger other investigations.

Class B Violations
• 1st documented incident – Termination of agreement

These are violations of the Delaware WIC Program’s Vendor Eligibility Criteria.

AUTHORIZED VENDORS must maintain compliance with these criteria to remain authorized during an agreement period. Any vendor not in compliance with eligibility criteria shall be notified and given an opportunity to correct the non-compliance. If corrective action does not occur within the time period specified by the WIC State agency office, the Vendor Participation Agreement may be terminated for cause of ineligibility.
Class D Violations
- 1st documented pattern or incident (dependent upon violation, see pages 36-38) – 6 month to one year disqualification.

These are determined to be serious violations that constitute Program fraud or abuse. The sanctions for class D violations range from a six-month disqualification to a one-year disqualification. Sanctions may be based on pattern of abuse or an incident of abuse.

Class E Violations
- After 2 documented instances, the State agency may take administrative action.

Class M Violations
- 1st documented pattern or incident (dependent upon violation, see pages 36-38) – one-year disqualification to permanent disqualification.
- 2nd documented pattern or incident (dependent upon violation, see pages 36-38) – two years disqualification to permanent disqualification.
- 3rd documented pattern or incident (dependent upon violation, see pages 36-38) – two years disqualification to permanent disqualification.
- >3rd documented pattern or incident (dependent upon violation, see pages 36-38) – two years disqualification to permanent disqualification.

These are violations requiring mandatory sanctions as specified in 7 CFR 246.12 of the Federal Regulations. Mandatory sanctions range from a one-year disqualification to permanent disqualification. These are reciprocal WIC/SNAP violations. Sanction may be based on pattern of abuse or an incident of abuse.

What happens when there are multiple violations during a single investigation?
During the course of a single investigation if a vendor has committed multiple violations (which may include violations subject to State agency sanctions), the State agency shall disqualify the vendor for the period corresponding to the most serious mandatory violation. However, the State agency shall include all violations in the notice of administration action. If a mandatory sanction is not upheld on appeal, then the State agency may impose a State agency-established sanction. (7 CFR 246.12(i)(xii))

Are there any actions in addition to sanctions?
The State agency shall refer food vendors and participants who abuse the Program to Federal, State, or local authorities for prosecution under applicable statutes, where appropriate. Under 7CFR 246.23(d) of the Federal Regulations, whoever embezzles, willfully misapplies, steals or obtains by fraud any funds, assets or property provided under section 17 of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966, as amended, whether received directly or indirectly from USDA, or whoever receives, conceals or retains such funds, assets or property for his or her own interest, knowing such funds, assets or property have been embezzled, willfully misapplied, stolen, or obtained by fraud shall, if such funds, assets or property are of the value of $100 or more, be fined not more than $25,000 or imprisoned not more than five years, or both, or if such funds, assets or property are of a value of less than $100, shall be fined not more than $1,000 or imprisoned for not more than one year, or both. (7 CFR 246.12(i)(7)) Sanctions and violations are subject to periodic revisions by State agency office.

Disqualified Vendors
Vendors disqualified from the Delaware WIC Program may not accept Delaware eWIC transactions during the period of disqualification. Disqualified vendors do not have automatic reinstatement rights to the Program at the end of the disqualification period. After the disqualification period is completed, the vendor may request to be placed on a waiting list or apply for authorization during the next authorization process. Application does not ensure authorization. The Delaware WIC Program reserves the right to deny authorization to any applicant who was previously disqualified from the WIC Program.

Terminated Vendors
Vendors terminated from the Delaware WIC Program may not accept Delaware eWIC transactions after termination. Terminated vendors do not have automatic reinstatement rights to the Program, but may request to be placed on a waiting list or apply for authorization during the next authorization process. Application does not ensure authorization. The Delaware WIC Program reserves the right to deny authorization to any applicant who was previously terminated from the WIC Program.

What are the notification procedures for disqualifying or terminating a vendor?
When the State agency office has determined a vendor will be disqualified or terminated:
1. A letter will be sent outlining the cause for disqualification or termination, the beginning and ending date of the disqualification or termination period, the vendor’s right to appeal the decision, and the procedures for an administrative review.
2. The letter will be signed by the Vendor Manager and sent certified mail, return receipt requested, at least 30 calendar days prior to the disqualification/termination start date.
3. After receiving the return receipt, 15 calendar days (from the date on the return receipt) will be allowed as a waiting period for the vendor to appeal the decision.

When is a vendor not permitted to voluntarily withdrawal from the WIC Program?
The State agency shall not accept voluntary withdrawal of the vendor from the Program as an alternative to disqualification for Class M violations. All disqualifications for Class M violations shall be entered on record and reported to SNAP. In addition, the State agency may not use nonrenewal of the vendor agreement as an alternative to disqualification. (7 CFR 246.12(i)(viii))

WIC Disqualification Based on a SNAP Program Disqualification
The State agency shall disqualify a vendor who has been disqualified from SNAP. The disqualification shall be for the same length of time as SNAP disqualification. It may begin later than SNAP disqualification. WIC disqualification based on a SNAP disqualification shall not be subject to administrative or judicial review under the WIC Program. (7CFR 246.12 (h) (3) (vii))

SNAP Disqualification Based on a WIC Program Disqualification
SNAP may disqualify a vendor who has been disqualified from the WIC Program. The disqualification shall be for the same length of time as the WIC Program disqualification. A SNAP disqualification based on a WIC disqualification is not subject to administrative or judicial review under the SNAP/WIC Program. (7 CFR 246.12 (h) (3) (xxvi))
FNS Notification of Disqualifications
The State agency shall provide the appropriate FNS office with the following information:
1. Vendor name
2. Vendor address
3. Vendor ID
4. Type of violation(s)
5. Length of disqualification OR length of disqualification corresponding to civil money penalty assessment

This information shall be forwarded within 15 days after the vendor’s opportunity to file for a WIC administrative review has expired or all of the vendor’s WIC administrative reviews have been completed. (7 CFR 246.12(l)(xi))

Civil Money Penalty
A “Civil Money Penalty” may be assessed against vendors in lieu of disqualification, in order to emphasize the seriousness of Program compliance and to allow the vendor to continue to serve an otherwise uncovered participant group. A civil money penalty does not, however, relieve the vendor of financial liability for previous compliance issues, such as outstanding overage and/or overcharge reimbursements, or payments due for sale of unauthorized foods. Such outstanding liabilities must be paid in addition to the Civil Money Penalty.

CIVIL MONEY PENALTY

POLICY AND PROCEDURE CONTENTS

- What is a civil money penalty?
- When does the State agency use a civil money penalty?
- How does the State agency define participant access?
- Does the State agency always impose a civil money penalty?
- What happens when the State agency decides to impose a civil money penalty?
- How does the State agency calculate a civil money penalty?
- Payment of Civil Money Penalties
- What happens if a vendor fails to pay his/her civil money penalty?
- Can a vendor request a civil money penalty in lieu of disqualification?
- Does the State agency notify FNS of civil money penalties?

Federal Reference

What is a civil money penalty?
As an alternate sanction, the State agency may assess a “Money Penalty” against such vendors in lieu of disqualification in order to emphasize the seriousness of Program compliance and to allow the vendor to continue to serve an otherwise uncovered participant group. A civil money penalty does not, however, relieve the vendor of financial liability for previous compliance issues such as outstanding overage and/or overcharge reimbursements or payments due for sale of unauthorized foods. Vendors must pay these outstanding liabilities in addition to the civil money penalty.

When does the State agency use a civil money penalty?
Under special circumstances, the State agency may choose to waive disqualification of an abusive vendor or non-compliant vendor. The State agency uses civil money penalties where the disqualification of the vendor would cause a hardship, not just an inconvenience, to participants. Participant hardship is not the same as participant inconvenience or preference. Vendors may not appeal or protest State agency decisions based on participant access or preference.

How does the State agency define participant access?
Participant access shall not be taken to mean participant inconvenience or preference and shall be determined to exist if one of the following conditions is indicated:

1. A significant number of WIC participants (50 or more) would be required to travel in excess of an additional five miles to reach an authorized vendor.
2. Constant or permanent physical barriers or conditions that would make normal travel to another authorized vendor impossible (e.g., frequent road closings due to bad weather).
3. Fifty (50) or more WIC participants whose specific nationality can only be properly served by a specific vendor due to a language barrier.
4. Fifty (50) or more WIC participants whose specific dietary needs can only be properly served by a specific vendor due to religious mandates.
Does the State agency always impose a civil money penalty?
Regardless of participant hardship, the State agency will not offer a civil money penalty if the
disqualification is based on:
2. Overcharging the program, with the intent to defraud.
3. Vendor’s refusal to be monitored or refusal to supply the program with supplier’s invoices.
4. Vendor’s refusal to accept mandatory training.
5. Vendor has been disqualified from or has been assessed a civil money penalty by the SNAP
   Program in the past two years. (Civil money penalties may be considered in situations where the
   FSP disqualification or civil money penalty resulted from abuses that have no bearing or impact on
   the WIC Program.
6. Third or subsequent mandatory sanctions, as listed in 7CFR246.12(l)(1)(vi).

What happens when the State agency decides to impose a civil money penalty?
After having determined that an authorized vendor should be disqualified from the program, the
State agency will review the case to determine participant hardship. This review will take place
prior to notification of disqualification, so that the vendor will be made aware of any alternative at
the time of notification. If the State agency determines that there is participant hardship and that a
civil money penalty is an appropriate alternative, then the State agency will contact the vendor to
schedule a conference.

Prior to or at the time the vendor is contacted to schedule a conference, the vendor should be
sent a written notice of the disqualification action. This notice should include cause(s) for the
disqualification, the effective date of the action, the vendor’s right to appeal, and the procedures
to be followed to file an appeal. This notice could also notify the vendor that the State agency has
decided to offer a CMP (Civil Money Penalty) in lieu of disqualification and that they will be contacted
to schedule a conference to discuss the CMP offer.

This conference will be used to offer the alternative of the money penalty in lieu of disqualification.

The conference will cover the following:
1. The amount of the alternative money penalty (as determined by the following procedure for
calculating money penalties);
2. The method of payment of the penalty available to the vendor; and
3. Discussion of all compliance issues, not just those that brought about the disqualification.

In addition, at the conference, the vendor will be advised of the following:
1. Acceptance/payment of the money penalty settles all past compliance issues but does not relieve
   the vendor of its obligation to document the resolution of the identified problems or protect the
   vendor from future sanctions or disqualification for continued non-compliance;
2. Past violations settled by the civil money penalty may be considered, at the discretion of the State
   agency, if additional violations of the same nature occur in the future;
3. The vendor has fifteen days after the conference to accept the alternative money penalty in
   lieu of disqualification;
4. Money penalty settlement does not prohibit further WIC investigations; and
5. Receipt of a civil money penalty will be considered as “past history” during the next bid process.

How does the State agency calculate a civil money penalty?
The State agency calculates all civil money penalties with a standard formula. However, the penalty
shall not exceed $15,877 per abuse and the total amount of civil money penalties shall not exceed
$63,509 per investigation. The formula is as follows:

**STEP 1** Multiply 10% (10) times the average monthly redemptions for the most recent six (6) month
period prior to the date of notification of charges.

**STEP 2** Multiply the product of Step 1 by the number of months of the disqualification period.

**EXAMPLE:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Average Monthly Redemptions</th>
<th>Calculation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>$4,650.00</td>
<td>$29,305 + 6 = $4,884.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>$4,075.00</td>
<td>$4,884.17 x 10% = $488.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>$5,120.00</td>
<td>$488.42 x 24 months = $11,722.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>$5,580.00</td>
<td>$4,890.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>$4,890.00</td>
<td>$4,990.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>$4,990.00</td>
<td>$29,305.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$11,722.08 = civil money penalty

Payment of Civil Money Penalties

The payment of the money penalty must be made by one of the following methods, as determined
by the State agency:

1. A lump sum payment of the penalty which must be received on or before the date of
disqualification was to be effective; or
2. By installment payments that will be of equal amounts, the total number of which cannot exceed
the number of months of the alternative disqualification.

The first installment must be received by the State agency on or before the date the disqualification
was to be effective. The subsequent payments must be received on the first day of the month for all
subsequent months until final payment is received. All payments (either lump sum or installment)
must be made by certified check or money order.

All payments must:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Be made payable to...</th>
<th>And be mailed to...</th>
<th>And include...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delaware WIC Program</td>
<td>Delaware WIC Program Blue Hen Corporate Center 655 Bay Road, Suite 1C Dover, DE 19901</td>
<td>• Store name • Vendor ID #</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What happens if a vendor fails to pay his/her civil money penalty?
If a vendor fails to make any scheduled installment payment by the due date, the State agency will
notify the vendor. The notification will inform the vendor that he/she has 20 days to pay the balance
of the civil money penalty or the remaining months of the disqualification action will be implemented.
Can a vendor request a civil money penalty in lieu of disqualification?
No. If the State agency has determined that a civil money penalty is not appropriate, a vendor does not have the option to pay a civil money penalty to avoid disqualification.

Does the State agency notify FNS of civil money penalties?
The State agency must provide the appropriate FNS office with a copy of the notice of administrative action and information on vendors it has either disqualified or imposed a civil money penalty in lieu of disqualification for any of the violations listed in paragraphs 246.12(l)(1)(i) through 246.12(l)(1)(iv). This information shall include the name of the vendor, address, identification number, the type of violation(s), and the length of disqualification or the length of the disqualification corresponding to the violation for which the civil money penalty was assessed, and shall be provided within 15 days after the vendor’s opportunity to file for a WIC administrative review has expired or all of the vendor’s WIC administrative reviews have been completed.

NOTES:

Federal Reference
246.12(l)
Last revised January 1, 2022

VENDOR CLAIMS

POLICY AND PROCEDURE CONTENTS

• What is a vendor claim and how is it determined?
• What is the difference between an “overcharge” and an “overage”?
• What recourse does a vendor have if the State agency establishes a claim?
• Does the State agency need approval from FNS for claim adjustments?
• What timeframes are associated with vendor claims?

What is a vendor claim and how is it determined?
When the State agency determines the vendor has committed a vendor violation that affects the payment to the vendor, the State agency must establish a claim. The State agency detects such vendor violations through compliance investigations, eWIC transaction reviews, or other reviews or investigations of a vendor’s operations. The State agency may establish a claim for the full purchase price of each eWIC transaction that contained the vendor overcharge or other error.

What is the difference between an “overcharge” and an “overage”?

**Overcharges** result from:
• A vendor intentionally or unintentionally charging the State agency more for authorized supplemental foods than is permitted under the vendor agreement.
• The vendor agreement states that a vendor will charge the WIC Program the current shelf price or less than shelf price (i.e. sale item or coupon item) charged to other customers.

**Overages** result from:
• Price adjustments made to eWIC transactions where the shelf price/less than shelf price charged exceeds the bid price or statewide average.

What recourse does a vendor have if the State agency establishes a claim?
When a claim is established, the State agency must provide the vendor with an opportunity to justify or correct the vendor overcharge or other error. If satisfied with the justification or correction, the State agency must adjust the proposed claim accordingly.

Does the State agency need approval from FNS for claim adjustments?
The State agency must obtain the approval of the FNS Regional Office to adjust claims that exceed $500.00 for eWIC transactions.

What timeframes are associated with vendor claims?
1. The State agency will assess a claim within 30 days of the conclusion of an investigation or review.
2. The vendor will be given 30 days to justify or correct the violations/errors; and
3. If a correction cannot be made or a justification is denied, the vendor will have 30 days to pay the claim.

Federal Reference
7 CFR 246.12(k)
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What is an administrative review?

An administrative review allows the vendor an opportunity to appeal a decision made by the State agency. A vendor can appeal when:

- his or her application is denied;
- he or she is disqualified during an agreement period; or
- he or she is terminated during an agreement period.

There are two kinds of administrative reviews:
1. Full administrative review
2. Abbreviated administrative review

Full Administrative Review

Abbreviated Administrative Review

Can a vendor request an administrative review for any action?

Are there any other reasons that an administrative review will not be held?

What is the difference between a full and an abbreviated administrative review?

How will a vendor know whether to send a written request or a written response to the State agency?

How does a vendor request a full administrative review (F2 in chart)?

What is a written response (A2 in chart)?

Does appealing an action relieve a vendor from complying with the Vendor Participation Agreement?

When are the State agency decisions effective?

What happens if the State agency’s initial decision is overturned?

If an administrative review decision upholds the State agency decision, can a vendor seek a judicial review?

What is a pre-review meeting (informal administrative review)?

Does “day” refer to calendar days or working days?

How does the State agency determine when a vendor receives notifications?

What happens if the fifteenth calendar day is on a holiday or weekend?

What happens if a vendor’s appeal request does not reach the State agency office within the proper timeline?

What documentation does the State agency keep on file?

How long is the documentation kept on file?

Where can I find administrative reviews of State agency actions mentioned in the Federal Regulations?

Change of Ownership or Location, Store Closure/Cessation of Operations

Policy and Procedure Contents

Does a vendor need to notify the State agency if there is any change in ownership, store location, or cessation of operations?

Yes. The vendor must notify the State agency, in writing, at least 15 calendar days before any change in vendor ownership, store location, or cessation of operations.

If the vendor does not notify the State agency 15 calendar days before any change in ownership, store location, or cessation of operations, a fine of $10.00 per day may be imposed commencing 15 calendar days before the incident or termination and ending with written notification to the State agency.

Can a vendor sell his or her WIC authorization with the store?

No. WIC authorization is not transferable. Agreements become null and void if ownership of the store changes. New owners must apply to the program on their own behalf.

What happens to the agreement if there is a cessation of operations?

The State agency is required to terminate the agreement.

What happens to the agreement if the store moves?

The State agency has the discretion to determine whether a change in location would necessitate a termination of the agreement. Factors that the State agency considers are miles moved, moving from one clinic area to another, moving closer or farther from other existing stores, and how participant access will be affected.

Federal Reference
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Full Administrative Review
The State agency must provide a full administrative review for a:

- denial of authorization based on:
  - a determination that the vendor is attempting to circumvent a sanction;
- termination of an agreement for cause;
- disqualification not based on trafficking or SNAP penalties; and
- imposition of a fine or a civil money penalty in lieu of disqualification.

Abbreviated Administrative Review
The State agency must provide an abbreviated administrative review for a:

- denial of authorization based on:
  - the vendor selection criteria for business integrity;
  - a current SNAP disqualification or civil money penalty for hardship;
  - the application of the vendor selection criteria for competitive price;
  - a State agency-established vendor selection criterion if the basis of the denial is a WIC vendor sanction or a SNAP withdrawal of authorization or disqualification;
  - the State agency’s vendor limiting criteria;
  - a vendor submitted its application outside of the timeframes during which applications are being accepted and processed; or
  - a determination of whether an applicant vendor is currently authorized by SNAP;
- termination of an agreement because the:
  - store ownership has changed;
  - store has moved to a different location; or
  - store has closed/cessation of operations.
- disqualification based on:
  - a trafficking (eWIC cards) conviction;
  - the imposition of a SNAP civil money penalty for hardship;
  - a civil money penalty imposed in lieu of disqualification based on a SNAP disqualification under (246.12(l)(1)(v)); or
  - a civil money penalty imposed in lieu of disqualification based on a mandatory sanction imposed by another WIC State agency (246.12(l)(2)(iii)).

Can a vendor request an administrative review for any action?

No. Federal Regulations say that vendors may not appeal:

- the validity or appropriateness of the State agency’s:
  - limitation criteria or selection criteria for minimum variety and quantity of supplemental foods, business integrity, and current SNAP disqualification or civil money penalty for hardship;
  - selection (authorization) criteria for competitive price (246.12(g)(4), including, but not limited to vendor peer group criteria and the criteria used to identify vendors that are above–50-percent vendor or comparable to above–50-percent vendors;
  - criteria and determinations regarding participant access;
  - prohibition of incentive items and the State agency’s denial of an above–50-percent vendor’s request to provide an incentive item to customers;
  - criteria for determining whether a vendor applicant is expected to meet the more than 50 percent criterion.

- the State agency’s determination:
  - to include or exclude an infant formula manufacturer, wholesaler, distributor, or retailer from the list required;
  - whether to notify a vendor in writing when an investigation reveals an initial violation for which a pattern of violations must be established in order to impose a sanction;
  - whether a vendor had an effective policy and program in effect to prevent trafficking and that the ownership of the vendor was not aware of, did not approve of, and was not involved in the conduct of the violation;
- denial of authorization if the State agency’s vendor authorization is subject to the procurement procedures applicable to the State agency;
- the expiration of a vendor’s agreement.
- disputes regarding eWIC payments and vendor claims (other than the opportunity to justify or correct a vendor overcharge or other error); or
- disqualification as a result of a SNAP disqualification.

Are there any other reasons that an administrative review will not be held? Yes. An administrative review will not be held if:

- the vendor does not request an administrative review;
- the State agency does not receive a written request, from the vendor, within 15 days of the receipt of the written notification of adverse action;
- the vendor withdraws the request; or
- the vendor or the vendor’s representative fails to appear, without good cause, for the originally scheduled or rescheduled administrative review.
What is the difference between a full and an abbreviated administrative review? The State agency must supply the vendor with:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Full Administrative Review</th>
<th>Abbreviated Administrative Review</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F1) Written notification of:</td>
<td>A1) Written notification of:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. the adverse action,</td>
<td>a. the adverse action,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. the procedures to follow to obtain an</td>
<td>b. the procedures to follow to obtain an</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>administrative review,</td>
<td>administrative review,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. the cause(s) of the adverse action,</td>
<td>c. the cause(s) of the adverse action,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and d. the effective date of the adverse</td>
<td>and d. the effective date of the adverse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>action.</td>
<td>action.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2) The opportunity to appeal the adverse</td>
<td>A2) The opportunity to appeal the adverse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>action within 15 days of the receipt of the</td>
<td>action with a written response. This written</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>notification of adverse action.</td>
<td>response must be submitted within 25 days of the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>receipt of the written notification of adverse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3) Adequate advance notice of the time and place of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the administrative review.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4) One opportunity to reschedule the</td>
<td>A3) The opportunity to present his or her case with</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>administrative review upon specific request.</td>
<td>a written response only. See A2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F5) The opportunity to present his or her case.</td>
<td>A5) A decision-maker who is someone other than</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the person who rendered the initial decision on</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F6) The opportunity to cross-examine adverse</td>
<td>the action and whose determination is based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>witnesses. When necessary to protect the identity</td>
<td>solely on whether the State agency has correctly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of WIC Program investigators, such examination</td>
<td>applied Federal and State statutes, regulations,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>may be conducted behind a protective screen or</td>
<td>policies, and procedures governing the Program,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>other device.</td>
<td>according to the evidence presented at the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F7) The opportunity to be represented by counsel, if</td>
<td>review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>desired, at the vendor’s expense.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F8) The opportunity to schedule a pre-review meeting</td>
<td>A4) The option to schedule a pre-review meeting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and to examine, before the review, the evidence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>upon which the State agency’s action is based.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F9) An impartial decision-maker, whose determination</td>
<td>A6) Written notification of the review decision,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>is based solely on whether the State agency has</td>
<td>including the basis for the decision, within 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>correctly applied Federal and State statutes,</td>
<td>days from the date of receipt of a vendor’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>regulations, policies, and procedures governing</td>
<td>written request must reach the State agency no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the Program, according to the evidence presented</td>
<td>later than the close of business on September 26th.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at the review.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F10) Written notification of the review decision,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>including the basis for the decision, within 90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>days from the date of receipt of a vendor’s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>request for an administrative review.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How will a vendor know whether to send a written request or a written response to the State agency? The written notification from the State agency will include the:
- adverse action (denial, termination, etc.), causes, and effective date;
- type of review that may be requested (full or abbreviated); and
- procedures to follow to obtain an administrative review.

How does a vendor request a full administrative review (F2 in chart)? For full administrative reviews, a vendor must submit a written request within 15 days of receiving the written notification from the State agency. (Example: If written notification is received by the vendor on September 1st, then the vendor’s request must reach the State agency office no later than the close of business on September 16th.)

The written request must include the store name and clearly say that it is a request for an administrative review of the State agency’s decision. (Example: “I am requesting an administrative review of the State agency’s decision to deny STORE NAME WIC authorization.”)

What is a written response (A2 in chart)? In the abbreviated administrative review process, meetings are not scheduled with a hearing officer. The vendor is given an opportunity to present his or her case with a written response only. The vendor’s written response should include the store name and contain all arguments that he or she would like the hearing officer to consider when reviewing the case file.

A vendor must submit a written response within 25 days of receiving the written notification from the State agency. (Example: If written notification is received by the vendor on September 1st, then the vendor’s written response must reach the State agency office no later than the close of business on September 26th.)

The written response must be sent to:
Delaware WIC Program
Blue Hen Corporate Center
655 Bay Road, Suite 1-C
Dover, DE 19901
ATTN: Vendor Manager

Does appealing an action relieve a vendor from complying with the Vendor Participation Agreement? No, appealing an action does not relieve a vendor, who is permitted to continue in the Program during the appeals process, from the responsibility of continued compliance with the terms of the Vendor Participation Agreement. The vendor will be sanctioned accordingly for any violations occurring during this period.

When are the State agency decisions effective?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If it is…</th>
<th>…and the action is…</th>
<th>…then the State agency action is…</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a denial of authorization or disqualification because the vendor has been convicted of trafficking in eWIC cards or selling firearms, ammunition, explosives, or controlled substances</td>
<td>appealed or not appealed by the vendor</td>
<td>effective as of the date the vendor receives the initial written notification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>any other action which is eligible for an administrative review</td>
<td>appealed by the vendor</td>
<td>effective as of the date the vendor receives the administrative review decision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>any other action which is eligible for an administrative review</td>
<td>not appealed by the vendor</td>
<td>effective 30 days after the date of the initial written notification</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 If a vendor is disqualified due in whole or in part to violations in 246.12(l)(1), such notification must include the following statement: “This disqualification from WIC may result in disqualification as a retailer in the SNAP Program. Such disqualification is not subject to administrative or judicial review under the SNAP Program.”

2 This timeframe is only an administrative requirement for the State agency and does not provide a basis for overturning the State agency’s adverse action if a decision is not made within the specified timeframe.

ATTN: Vendor Manager
Delaware WIC Program
Blue Hen Corporate Center
655 Bay Road, Suite 1-C
Dover, DE 19901
ATTN: Vendor Manager
What happens if the State agency’s initial decision is overturned?
Whether the State agency’s initial decision is overturned or upheld, the administrative review decision (full or abbreviated) is considered the final State agency action. The State agency will take the action indicated in the written notification of the administrative review decision.

If an administrative review decision upholds the State agency decision, can a vendor seek a judicial review?
Federal Regulations say that the State agency must inform vendors that they might be able to pursue a judicial review; however, in Delaware, there is no State statute that gives a vendor the right to appeal an administrative review decision.

What is a pre-review meeting (informal administrative review)?
A vendor can ask the State agency to hold a pre-review meeting to discuss the decision. (See F8 or A4) During this informal administrative review, the State agency Vendor Manager will explain the findings leading to the decision; thus, providing the vendor an opportunity to examine the case record before the administrative review. It will also provide the vendor with an opportunity to discuss the findings and present arguments for reversing the decision or commit to a mutually agreed upon outcome. As a result of the pre-review meeting, the State agency may opt to reverse the proposed action, modify the proposed action, or allow the proposed action to remain in effect unless it is reversed at the administrative review.

Does “day” refer to calendar days or working days?
Day refers to calendar days (in other words, including weekends.)

How does the State agency determine when a vendor receives notifications?
All written notifications are either hand-delivered or sent by certified mail. Both forms of delivery require the vendor’s (or his or her representative’s) signature and the date of receipt.

What happens if the fifteenth calendar day is a on a holiday or weekend?
Since no mail is delivered to the State agency office on holidays or weekends, administrative review requests will be accepted on the next business day.

What happens if a vendor’s appeal request does not reach the State agency office within the proper timeline?
No administrative review will be scheduled and the State agency will take action on the effective date listed in the initial written notification received by the vendor.

What documentation does the State agency keep on file?
A written report is prepared for each administrative review, this report includes:
• the decision under appeal;
• all documentary evidence submitted;
• a summary of the testimony presented at the review;
• the decision of the review officer with reasons for the decision; and
• a copy of the letter to the vendor concerning the final decision.
Can the State agency share information with staff in the SNAP?
Yes. The State agency may disclose confidential vendor information to persons directly connected with the administration or enforcement of SNAP. The State agency will share any information necessary with persons investigating or prosecuting SNAP violations.

Does the State agency share information with SNAP on a regular basis?
Yes. A list of authorized WIC vendors is sent to the Mid-Atlantic Regional office of USDA, no later than January 1st of every calendar year. The report contains the:
• vendor name;
• store address;
• WIC vendor identification number;
• name of the county the store is located in; and
• store owner name.

Does the State agency assist in SNAP investigations?
Normally, WIC investigations and SNAP investigations are separate. Upon request, the State agency will coordinate investigative efforts with SNAP.

What is considered confidential vendor information?
Confidential vendor information is any information about a vendor (whether it is obtained from the vendor or another source) that individually identifies the vendor, except for vendor’s name, address, telephone number, Web site/email address, store type, and authorization status.

Who is permitted to access confidential vendor information?
Except as otherwise permitted, the State agency must restrict the use or disclosure of confidential vendor information to:
• Persons directly connected with the administration or enforcement of the WIC Program or SNAP who the State agency determines have a need to know the information for purposes of these programs. These persons may include personnel from its local agencies and other WIC State and local agencies and persons investigating or prosecuting WIC or SNAP violations under Federal, State, or local law;
• Persons directly connected with the administration or enforcement of any Federal or State law. Prior to releasing the information to one of these parties (other than a Federal agency), the State agency must enter into a written agreement with the requesting party specifying that such information may not be used or re-disclosed except for purposes directly connected to the administration or enforcement of a Federal or State law;
• A vendor that is subject to an adverse action, including a claim, to the extent that the confidential information concerns the vendor subject to the adverse action and is related to the adverse action; and
• USDA and the Comptroller General of the United States, which are permitted access to all WIC Program records.

Is aggregate data confidential?
Aggregate data about vendors and other data that does not individually identify a vendor are not confidential information and may be disclosed by the State agency.

Is SNAP information considered confidential?
The State agency must restrict the use or disclosure of information about SNAP vendors obtained from SNAP to persons directly connected with the administration or enforcement of the WIC Program.
What does the term “incentive item” mean?
An incentive item is merchandise/giveaways that are made available to all customers.

What are and are not acceptable incentive item(s)?
1. Three types of acceptable incentive items are:
   (a) merchandise obtained at no cost to the vendor and provided to all customers without charge, or merchandise sold to all customers at or above cost, subject to documentation.
   (b) food of nominal value and merchandise of nominal value, i.e., having a per item cost of less than $2.00.
   (c) food sales and specials which involve no cost or only a nominal value for the vendor regarding the food items involved and do not result in a charge to a WIC food instrument for foods in excess of the foods listed on the food instrument.
2. The cost of the incentive items cannot exceed $1.99.
3. The WIC Acronym and/or logo cannot be used on incentive items.
4. The vendor cannot give or sell an incentive item to any customer for less than cost.
5. Vendors are not permitted to provide services to any customers such as transportation of customers to and from the vendor’s premises or delivery of supplemental foods to customer residences.
6. Under no circumstances are vendors allowed to give lottery tickets or cash as incentive items.

Are “buy-one-get-one free” considered incentive items?
No. Buy-one-get-one free items are eligible to all WIC and non-WIC customers.
## VIOLATIONS AND SANCTION CHART

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Violation Class</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>State/Federal <strong>Sanction</strong></th>
<th>Violation Type</th>
<th>Violation Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>WL and/or MRT</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Vendor with integrated point of sale system fails to provide participants a printed eWIC balance inquiry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>WL and/or MRT</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Vendor fails to provide WIC participants a printed receipt at the end of each eWIC transaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>WL and/or MRT</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Vendor fails to maintain and keep UPC’s current on APL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>WL and/or MRT</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Vendor scans (or manually enter) UPC’s that are not affixed to authorized food items actually being purchased by the participant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>WL and/or MRT</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Vendor maintains a separate check-out line or separate check-out procedures for WIC participant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>WL and/or MRT</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Vendor fails to post the WIC sign conspicuously</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>WL and/or MRT</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Vendor fails to make available for use the WIC Program Authorized Food List at each cashier checkout station</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>WL and/or MRT</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Vendor requires participants to provide identification other than WIC ID cards (e.g. driver’s license, address)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>WL and/or MRT</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Vendor misuses the WIC acronym or facsimiles in the name of the vendor or in advertising or other promotional materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>WL and/or MRT</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Vendor makes available in a public area a complimentary gift, which may be consumed or taken without charge, is a prohibited incentive item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>WL and/or MRT</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Vendor fails to submit monthly self-inspection sheet by the 5th of each month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Termination of agreement</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Vendor submits the vendor bid application with false information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Termination of agreement</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Vendor fails to notify the WIC Program with change of ownership or location or cessation of operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Termination of agreement</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Vendor has conflict of interest with the WIC Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Termination of agreement</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Vendor fails to abide by competitive prices on the vendor bid applications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>6 Month Disqualification</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>A pattern of limiting the choices and quantities of authorized food items listed on a participants eWIC benefits card (not allowing client to utilize entire food package)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>1 Year Disqualification</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Vendor knowingly provides cash for the return of items purchased with eWIC benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Termination of agreement</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Vendor fails to stock the mandatory required level of authorized foods or provides out of date food items</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Termination of agreement</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Vendor knowingly provides false information to the WIC Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Termination of agreement</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Vendor threatens State/Federal staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Termination of agreement</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Vendor refuses to permit State/Federal personnel to perform onsite compliance monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Termination of agreement</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Vendor refuses to allow WIC State agency Representatives the opportunity to review eWIC transactions or pertinent records or fails to provide evidence of proofs-of-purchase (invoices) of WIC foods during investigations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Termination of agreement</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Vendor violates participants’ civil rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Termination of agreement</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Vendor fails to remit payment for overcharges or bid differentials, as requested by the Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Termination of agreement</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Vendor fails to have a valid Public Health permit and maintain the store in clean and sanitary condition per the State of Delaware Food Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Termination of agreement</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Vendor reimbursement check issued for payment to the WIC Program is returned by the bank for insufficient funds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Termination of agreement</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Vendor does not have a valid State of Delaware business license to operate as a food retailer in Delaware</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Termination of agreement</td>
<td>State agency</td>
<td>Vendor refuses to allow WIC State agency Representatives the opportunity to review eWIC transactions or pertinent records or fails to provide evidence of proofs-of-purchase (invoices) of WIC foods during investigations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Violation Class</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>State/Federal <strong>Sanction</strong></th>
<th>Violation Type</th>
<th>Violation Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Federal Sanction</td>
<td>Permanent Disqualification</td>
<td>Federal/USDA 246.12(10)(c)</td>
<td>Vendor convicted of trafficking of eWIC benefits or selling firearms, ammunition, explosives, or controlled substances (as defined in section 102 of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 802)) in exchange for eWIC food benefits or cash value benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Federal Sanction</td>
<td>*6 Year Disqualification</td>
<td>Federal/USDA 246.12(10)(c)(i)(A)</td>
<td>One incident of buying or selling food eWIC benefits or cash value benefits for cash (trafficking)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Federal Sanction</td>
<td>*6 Year Disqualification</td>
<td>Federal/USDA 246.12(10)(c)(ii)</td>
<td>One incident selling firearms, ammunition, explosives, or controlled substances as defined in 21 U.S.C. 802, in exchange for eWIC food benefits or cash value benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Federal Sanction</td>
<td>*3 Year Disqualification</td>
<td>Federal/USDA 246.12(10)(c)(iii)(A)</td>
<td>A pattern of claiming reimbursement for the sale of an amount of a specific supplemental food item which exceeds the store’s documented inventory of that supplemental food item for a specific period of time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Federal Sanction</td>
<td>*3 Year Disqualification</td>
<td>Federal/USDA 246.12(10)(c)(iii)(B)</td>
<td>A pattern of providing credit or non-food items, other than alcohol, alcoholic beverages, tobacco products, cash, firearms, ammunition, explosives, or controlled substances as defined in 21 U.S.C. 802, in exchange for eWIC food benefits or cash value benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Federal Sanction</td>
<td>*3 Year Disqualification</td>
<td>Federal/USDA 246.12(10)(c)(iii)(C)</td>
<td>A pattern of providing unauthorized food items in exchange for eWIC food benefits or cash value benefits, including charging for supplemental food provided in excess of those listed on the eWIC food benefit or cash value benefit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Federal Sanction</td>
<td>*3 Year Disqualification</td>
<td>Federal/USDA 246.12(10)(c)(iii)(D)</td>
<td>A pattern of providing unauthorized food items in exchange for eWIC food benefits or cash value benefits, including charging for supplemental food provided in excess of those listed on the eWIC food benefit or cash value benefit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Federal Sanction</td>
<td>*6 Year Disqualification</td>
<td>Federal/USDA 246.12(10)(c)(iii)(E)</td>
<td>A pattern of providing unauthorized food items in exchange for eWIC food benefits or cash value benefits, including charging for supplemental food provided in excess of those listed on the eWIC food benefit or cash value benefit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Last revised: January 1, 2022
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Violation Class</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>State/Federal Sanction</th>
<th>Violation Type</th>
<th>Violation Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Federal Sanction</td>
<td><strong>Double the Corresponding Federal Sanction</strong></td>
<td>Federal/USDA 246.12(l)(1)(ii) through (l)(1)(iv)</td>
<td>Vendor previously assessed sanction for any violation listed in (l)(1)(ii) through (l)(1)(iv) receiving another sanction for any of violation listed in (l)(1)(ii) through (l)(1)(iv). State shall double second sanction. Civil money penalties may be doubled up to the limits allowed under (l)(1)(x)(C).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Federal Sanction</td>
<td><strong>Double the Corresponding Federal Sanction</strong></td>
<td>Federal/USDA 246.12(l)(ii) through (l)(1)(iv)</td>
<td>Vendor previously assessed 2 or more sanctions for any violation listed in (l)(1)(ii) through (l)(1)(iv) receiving another sanction for any of violation listed in (l)(1)(ii) through (l)(1)(iv) - State must double third and subsequent sanctions, civil money penalty not permitted, disqualification is only option.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Federal Sanction</td>
<td><strong>Disqualification for same length as SNAP</strong></td>
<td>Federal/USDA 246.12(l)(3)(iv)(v)(vi) (vii)</td>
<td>Vendor is disqualified from Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WL = Warning Letter
MRT = Mandatory Retraining
* Also subject to reimbursement for improperly redeemed eWIC food benefits and cash value benefits.
** State agency sanctions are based on a pattern of 2 or more violation incidences and may include disqualifications, civil money penalties assessed in lieu of disqualification, and administrative fines.

NOTES:
In accordance with Federal civil rights law and U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) civil rights regulations and policies, the USDA, its Agencies, offices, and employees, and institutions participating in or administering USDA programs are prohibited from discriminating based on race, color, national origin, sex, disability, age, or reprisal or retaliation for prior civil rights activity in any program or activity conducted or funded by USDA.

Persons with disabilities who require alternative means of communication for program information (e.g. Braille, large print, audiotape, American Sign Language, etc.), should contact the Agency (State or local) where they applied for benefits. Individuals who are deaf, hard of hearing, or have speech disabilities may contact USDA through the Federal Relay Service at (800) 877-8339. Additionally, program information may be made available in languages other than English.

To file a program complaint of discrimination, complete the USDA Program Discrimination Complaint Form, (AD-3027) found online at: http://www.ascr.usda.gov/complaint_filing_cust.html, and at any USDA office, or write a letter addressed to USDA and provide in the letter all of the information requested in the form. To request a copy of the complaint form, call (866) 632-9992. Submit your completed form or letter to USDA by:

(1) mail: U.S. Department of Agriculture
Office of the Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights
1400 Independence Avenue, SW
Washington, D.C. 20250-9410;
(2) fax: (202) 690-7442; or
(3) email: program.intake@usda.gov.

This institution is an equal opportunity provider.